## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s) TOMOKUNI, ATSUSHI		
	10/743,080			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1611		

	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavities (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a)  The period for reply expires 2_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7. \( \subseteq  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.9 and 11-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the considere	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
<ul> <li>see continuation sheet.</li> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>7-16-08</u>				
13. Other:					

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611